

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,646	12/10/2001	Takehiko Shioda	Q67593	2221
65565 SUGHRUF-26	65565 7590 10/16/2007 SUGHRUE-265550		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			BEKERMAN, MICHAEL	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		•	10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/006.646 SHIODA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3622 Michael Bekerman All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Michael Bekerman. (4)\_\_\_\_\_. (2) Frank Plati. Date of Interview: 03 October 2007. Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 30. Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Treyz reference</u>. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\times$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/006,646

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

With regards to 112 rejections, the following was discussed:

In reference to claim 1, Examiner expressed that the amendment reciting "used by a user" might make the claim unclear as to which device is used by the user, the navigation terminal or the mobile unit.

In reference to claim 30, Examiner expressed concern over the amendment reciting "speaking", as the term "speaking" is often used as a verb describing a living action. For the system of the present invention, Examiner suggests the alternative language "audibly communicating".

With regards to the amendments to overcome the Treyz reference, the following was discussed:

The proposed amendment appeared to recite non-functional descriptive material and intended use. Namely, the limiting of the information sent in a notification signal could be considered non-functional. The language "so that" does not positively claim the step recited afterwards, and is considered to be intended use.